

On Polynilpotent Covering Groups of a Polynilpotent Group

Behrooz Mashayekhy and Mahboobeh Alizadeh Sanati

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,

P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran

E-mail: mashaf@math.um.ac.ir

sanati@math.um.ac.ir

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t}$ be the variety of polynilpotent groups of class row (c_1, \dots, c_t) . In this paper, first, we show that a polynilpotent group G of class row (c_1, \dots, c_t) has no any $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}}$ -covering group if its Baer-invariant with respect to the variety $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}}$ is nontrivial. As an immediate consequence, we can conclude that a solvable group G of length c with nontrivial solvable multiplier, $\mathcal{S}_n M(G)$, has no \mathcal{S}_n -covering group for all $n > c$, where \mathcal{S}_n is the variety of solvable groups of length at most n . Second, we prove that if G is a polynilpotent group of class row $(c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1})$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}} M(G) \neq 1$, where $c'_i \geq c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $c'_{t+1} > c_{t+1}$, then G has no any $\mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}}$ -covering group. This is a vast generalization of the first author's result on nilpotent covering groups (Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29(7) 711-713, 1998).

Key words and phrases: \mathcal{V} -covering group; polynilpotent groups; solvable-group.

Mathematics subject classification(2000): 20E10, 20F18, 20F16

1. Introduction and Motivation

Let $G \simeq F/R$ be a free presentation for G and \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups. Then, after R. Baer [1], the Baer-invariant of G with respect to \mathcal{V} is defined to be $\mathcal{V}M(G) = R \cap V(F)/[RV^*F]$, where $V(F)$ is the verbal subgroup of F with respect to \mathcal{V} and

$$[RV^*F] = \langle v(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_i r, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n) v(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{-1} \mid r \in R, f_i \in F, \\ 1 \leq i \leq n, v \in V, n \in \mathbf{N} \rangle.$$

In special case, if \mathcal{V} is the variety of abelian groups, then the Baer-invariant of G will be the well-known notion the Schur-multiplier of G , denoted by $M(G) = R \cap F'/[R, F]$ (See [5,6] for further details).

It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}_c$, the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most $c \geq 1$, then

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) = \frac{R \cap \gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[R, {}_c F]},$$

where $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$ is the $(c+1)$ -st term of the lower central series of F and $[R, {}_1 F] = [R, F]$, $[R, {}_c F] = [[R, {}_{c-1} F], F]$, inductively. We shall also call $\mathcal{N}_c M(G)$ the c -nilpotent multiplier of G .

In a more general case, if $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t}$, the variety of polynilpotent groups of class row (c_1, \dots, c_t) , then

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t} M(G) = \frac{R \cap \gamma_{c_t+1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)}{[R, {}_{c_1} F, {}_{c_2} \gamma_{c_1+1}(F), \dots, {}_{c_t} \gamma_{c_{t-1}+1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)]},$$

where $\gamma_{c_t+1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F) = \gamma_{c_t+1}(\gamma_{c_{t-1}+1}(\dots(\gamma_{c_1+1}(F))\dots))$ are the terms of iterated lower central series of F . See [4, corollary 6.14] for the following equality

$$[RN_{c_1, \dots, c_t}^* F] = [R, {}_{c_1} F, {}_{c_2} \gamma_{c_1+1}(F), \dots, {}_{c_t} \gamma_{c_{t-1}+1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)].$$

We shall also call $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t} M(G)$, the (c_1, \dots, c_t) -polynilpotent multiplier of G .

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups and G be an arbitrary group, then a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G (a generalized covering group of G with respect to the variety \mathcal{V}) is a group G^* with a normal subgroup A such that $G^*/A \simeq G$, $A \subseteq V(G^*) \cap V^*(G^*)$, and $A \simeq \mathcal{V}M(G)$, where $V^*(G^*)$ is the marginal subgroup of G^* with respect to \mathcal{V} (see [6]).

Note that if \mathcal{V} is the variety of abelian groups, then the \mathcal{V} -covering group of G will be ordinary covering group (sometimes it is called representing group) of G . Also if $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t}$, then an $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t}$ -covering group of G is a group G^* with a normal subgroup A such that

$$\begin{aligned} G &\simeq G^*/A, \\ A &\simeq \mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t}M(G^*) \text{ and} \\ A &\subseteq N_{c_1, \dots, c_t}^*(G^*) \cap \gamma_{c_t+1}(\dots(\gamma_{c_1+1}(G^*))\dots). \end{aligned}$$

We shall also call G^* a (c_1, \dots, c_t) -polynilpotent covering group of G .

It is a well-known fact that every group has at least a covering group (see [5,13]). Also, the first author proved that every group has a \mathcal{V} -covering group if \mathcal{V} is the variety of all groups, \mathcal{G} , or the variety of all abelian groups, \mathcal{A} , or the variety of all abelian groups of exponent m , \mathcal{A}_m , where m is square free (see [7,9]).

Moreover, C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay [6] proved, by a homological method, that a sufficient condition for existence of a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G is that $G/V(G)$ should be a \mathcal{V} -splitting group.

Some people have tried to construct a covering group for some well-known structures of groups. For example, the generalized quaternion group $Q_{4n} = \langle a, b | a^{2n} = 1, b^2 = a^n, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ is a covering group of the dihedral group $D_{2n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ (see [5]).

Also J. Wiegold [12] presented a covering group for a direct product of two finite groups. W. Haebich [2, 3] generalized the Wiegold's result and gave a covering group for a regular product of a family of groups and also

for a verbal wreath product of two groups. Moreover, the first author [10] recently proved the existence and presented a structure of an \mathcal{N}_c -covering group for a nilpotent product of a family of cyclic groups.

It is interesting to mention that there are some groups which have no any \mathcal{V} -covering group, for some variety \mathcal{V} . The first author [8] gave an example of the group $G \simeq \mathbf{Z}_r \oplus \mathbf{Z}_s$, where $(r, s) \neq 1$, which has no \mathcal{N}_c -covering group for all $c \geq 2$. Moreover, the first author [7, 9] proved that a nilpotent group G of class n with nontrivial c -nilpotent multiplier $\mathcal{N}_c M(G)$, has no \mathcal{N}_c -covering group for all $c > n$.

Now, in this paper, we concentrate on nonexistence of polynilpotent covering groups of a polynilpotent group. More precisely, we show that if G is a polynilpotent group of class row (c_1, \dots, c_t) such that $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}} M(G) \neq 1$, then G has no $(c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1})$ -polynilpotent covering group of G . Also, if $\mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t} M(G) \neq 1$ and $c'_i \geq c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $c'_t > c_t$, then G has no (c'_1, \dots, c'_t) -polynilpotent covering group of G .

2. The Main Results

Let G be a group and \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups. It is clear, by definition, that if $\mathcal{V}M(G) = 1$, then G is the only \mathcal{V} -covering group of itself. So it is natural to put the condition $\mathcal{V}M(G) \neq 1$ for nonexistence of \mathcal{V} -covering group of G .

Theorem 2.1

Let G be a polynilpotent group of class row (c_1, \dots, c_t) and $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}} M(G) \neq 1$, for some $c_{t+1} \geq 1$. Then G has no any $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}}$ -covering group.

Proof.

Let G^* be a $(c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1})$ -polynilpotent covering group of G with the normal subgroup A of G^* such that

$$G \simeq G^*/A,$$

$$A \simeq \mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}} M(G^*) \text{ and}$$

$$A \subseteq N_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}}^*(G^*) \cap \gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\gamma_{c_t+1}(\dots(\gamma_{c_1+1}(G^*)) \dots)).$$

We define ρ_t inductively, for any group M and $t \geq 0$, as follows:

$$\rho_0(M) = M \text{ and } \rho_i(M) = \gamma_{c_i+1}(\rho_{i-1}(M)), \text{ for } i > 1.$$

By hypothesis, $\rho_t(G) = 1$ and so $\rho_t(G^*/A) = 1$. Hence $\rho_t(G^*) \subseteq A$. Also $A \subseteq \rho_{t+1}(G^*)$, then $\rho_t(G^*) \subseteq \rho_{t+1}(G^*)$. Clearly $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) \subseteq \rho_t(G^*)$, so $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) = \rho_t(G^*)$. In particular,

$$\rho_t(G^*) = \gamma_2(\rho_t(G^*)) = \dots = \gamma_{c_{t+1}}(\rho_t(G^*)) = \gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho_t(G^*)) = \rho_{t+1}(G^*) \quad (I).$$

Since $A \subseteq N_{c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1}}^*(G^*)$ and $\rho_t(G^*) \subseteq A$, so we have

$$[\dots [[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} G^*],_{c_2} \gamma_{c_1+1}(G^*)], \dots,_{c_{t+1}} \gamma_{c_t+1}(\dots(\gamma_{c_1+1}(G^*)) \dots)] = 1,$$

or by the above notation,

$$[\dots [[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} G^*],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)], \dots,_{c_{t+1}} \rho_t(G^*)] = 1.$$

First, we show that $[M, _i N] \stackrel{(II)}{\supseteq} [\gamma_i(N), M]$ for each natural number i and normal subgroups M and N of any group. By Three Subgroups Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [M, _i N] &= [M, _{i-2} N, N, N] \supseteq [N, N, [M, _{i-2} N]] = [[M, _{i-2} N, [N, N]] \\ &= [[M, _{i-3} N], N, \gamma_2(N)] \supseteq [N, \gamma_2(N), [M, _{i-3} N]] = [[M, _{i-3} N], \gamma_3(N)] \\ &= [[M, _{i-4} N], N, \gamma_3(N)] \supseteq \dots \supseteq [M, \gamma_i(N)] = [\gamma_i(N), M]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim

$$[\dots [[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} G^*],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)], \dots,_{c_i} \rho_{i-1}(G^*)] \stackrel{(III)}{\supseteq} [\rho_i(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)],$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq t+1$.

Clearly the equality is valid for $i = 1$. Now for $i = 2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &[[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} G^*],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)] \supseteq [[\gamma_{c_1}(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)] \quad \text{by (II)} \\ &\supseteq [[\rho_1(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)] \\ &\supseteq [\gamma_{c_2}(\rho_1(G^*)), [\rho_1(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)]] \quad \text{by (II)} \\ &= [[\rho_1(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)], \gamma_{c_2}(\rho_1(G^*))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\supseteq [\gamma_{c_2}(\rho_1(G^*)), \rho_1(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)] \\
&= [\gamma_{c_2+1}(\rho_1(G^*)), \rho_t(G^*)] \\
&= [\rho_2(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)].
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the inclusion (III) holds for $i = j$. Now, we prove it for $i = j + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[\cdots [[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} \rho_0(G^*)],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)], \cdots,_{c_j} \rho_{j-1}(G^*)],_{c_{j+1}} \rho_j(G^*)] \\
&\supseteq [\rho_j(G^*), \rho_t(G^*),_{c_{j+1}} \rho_j(G^*)] \\
&\supseteq [\gamma_{c_{j+1}}(\rho_j(G^*)), [\rho_j(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)]] \quad \text{by (I)} \\
&= [\rho_j(G^*), \rho_t(G^*), \gamma_{c_{j+1}}(\rho_j(G^*))] \\
&\supseteq [\gamma_{c_{j+1}}(\rho_j(G^*)), \rho_j(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)] \\
&= [\gamma_{c_{j+1}+1}(\rho_j(G^*)), \rho_t(G^*)] \\
&= [\rho_{j+1}(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$1 = [\cdots [[\rho_t(G^*),_{c_1} \rho_0(G^*)],_{c_2} \rho_1(G^*)], \cdots,_{c_{t+1}} \rho_t(G^*)] \supseteq [\rho_{t+1}(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)].$$

Hence $[\rho_{t+1}(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)] = 1$. Since $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) = \rho_t(G^*)$, we can conclude $[\rho_t(G^*), \rho_t(G^*)] = 1$. i.e. $\gamma_2(\rho_t(G^*)) = 1$. Hence by (I), we have $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) = 1$. Therefore $A = 1$, which is a contradiction. \square

Now we can state the following interesting corollary about nonexistence of solvable covering groups.

Corollary 2.2

Let G be a solvable group with derived length at most n . If the l -solvable multiplier of G , $\mathcal{S}_l M(G)$, is nontrivial, then G has no any \mathcal{S}_l -covering group, for all $l > n$.

Proof.

Note that, \mathcal{S}_l , the variety of solvable groups of derived length at most l is in fact the variety of polynilpotent groups of class row $\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{l\text{-times}}$. Hence the result is a consequence of Theorem 2.1. \square

In a different view, the following theorem is also about nonexistence of polynilpotent covering groups which is a vast generalization of a result of the first author (see [7, Theorem 3.1.6], [8, Theorem 2] and [9, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 2.3

Let G be a polynilpotent group of class row $(c_1, \dots, c_t, c_{t+1})$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}} M(G) \neq 1$ where $c'_i \geq c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $c'_{t+1} > c_{t+1}$. Then G has no any $\mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}}$ -covering group.

Proof.

Let G^* be a $(c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1})$ -polynilpotent covering group of G with the normal subgroup A of G^* such that

$$G \simeq G^*/A,$$

$$A \simeq \mathcal{N}_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}} M^*(G) \text{ and}$$

$$A \subseteq N_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}}^*(G^*) \cap \gamma_{c'_{t+1}+1}(\gamma_{c'_t+1}(\dots(\gamma_{c'_1+1}(G^*))) \dots).$$

We consider the following notations, inductively:

$$\rho_0(G^*) = G^* \text{ and } \rho_i(G^*) = \gamma_{c_i+1}(\rho_{i-1}(G^*)), \text{ for all } i \geq 1,$$

$$\rho'_0(G^*) = G^* \text{ and } \rho'_i(G^*) = \gamma_{c'_i+1}(\rho'_{i-1}(G^*)), \text{ for all } i \geq 1.$$

Since, $\rho_{t+1}(G) = 1$, so we have $\rho_{t+1}(G^*/A) = 1$, and hence $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) \subseteq A$. Also $A \subseteq \rho'_{t+1}(G^*)$, then $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) \subseteq \rho'_{t+1}(G^*)$. On the other hand, by $c'_i \geq c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $c'_{t+1} > c_{t+1}$ we can imply that $\rho'_j(G^*) \subseteq \rho_j(G^*)$, for all $1 \leq j \leq t+1$. Therefore

$$\rho'_{t+1}(G^*) = \rho_{t+1}(G^*) \quad (I).$$

Consider the following trivial inclusions:

$$\rho'_{t+1}(G^*) = \gamma_{c'_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \subseteq \gamma_{c'_{t+1}}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \subseteq \gamma_{c'_{t+1}-1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \subseteq$$

$$\dots \subseteq \gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \subseteq \gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho_t(G^*)) = \rho_{t+1}(G^*).$$

Thus by the equality (I), we can conclude that

$$\gamma_{c'_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) = \gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \quad (II).$$

Since $\rho_{t+1}(G^*) \subseteq A \subseteq N_{c'_1, \dots, c'_t, c'_{t+1}}^*(G^*)$, we have

$$[\cdots [[\rho_{t+1}(G^*),_{c'_1} \rho'_0(G^*)],_{c'_2} \rho'_1(G^*)], \cdots,_{c'_{t+1}} \rho'_t(G^*)] = 1.$$

Clearly $\rho'_t(G^*) \subseteq \rho'_i(G^*)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq t$, so by (II), we can conclude that

$$[\cdots [[\gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)),_{c'_1} \rho'_t(G^*)],_{c'_2} \rho'_t(G^*)], \cdots,_{c'_{t+1}} \rho'_t(G^*)] = 1.$$

and then $\gamma_{c_{t+1}+1+c'_1+\cdots+c'_{t+1}}(\rho'_t(G^*)) = 1$. Put $c = c_{t+1} + 1 + c'_1 + \cdots + c'_{t+1}$ and $k = c'_{t+1} - c_{t+1}$. By division algorithm, there are $q, r \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $c = kq + r$, where $r < k$. Put $j = \min\{i \in \mathbf{N} | ki + r \geq c'_{t+1} + 1\}$. Then $kj + r \geq c'_{t+1} + 1$ and $k(j-1) + r < c'_{t+1} + 1$. Now, using (II) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \gamma_c(\rho'_t(G^*)) = [\gamma_{c'_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)),_{c-c'_{t+1}-1} \rho'_t(G^*)] \\ &= [\gamma_{c_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)),_{c-c'_{t+1}-1} \rho'_t(G^*)] \\ &= \gamma_{c-k}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \gamma_{c-k(q-j)}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \\ &= \gamma_{kj+r}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \\ &= \gamma_{k(j-1)+r}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \\ &\supseteq \gamma_{c'_{t+1}+1}(\rho'_t(G^*)) \\ &= \rho'_{t+1}(G^*). \text{ Hence } \rho'_{t+1}(G^*) = 1 \text{ and so } A = 1, \text{ which is a contradiction. } \square \end{aligned}$$

Notes

(i) The condition $c'_{t+1} > c_{t+1}$ in the theorem 2.3 is essential, since the first author [10] showed that for any natural number n , there exists a nilpotent group G of class n such that $\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \neq 1$ and G has at least one \mathcal{N}_c -covering group for all $c \leq n$.

(ii) In a joint paper with the first author [11], it is shown that a finitely generated abelian group $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{n_k}$, where $n_{i+1} | n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, has a nontrivial polynilpotent multiplier, $\mathcal{N}_{c_1, \dots, c_t} M(G)$, if $k \geq 3$. Hence we can find many groups satisfying in conditions of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

References

- [1] R. Baer, Representations of Groups as Quotient Groups, I,II,III, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **58**, (1945), 295-419.
- [2] W. Haebich, The Multiplier of a Regular Product of Groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **7**, (1972), 279-296.
- [3] W. Haebich, The multiplier of a splitting extension, *Journal of Algebra*, **44**, (1977), 420-433.
- [4] J. A. Hulse and J. C. Lennox, Marginal Series in Groups, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **76A**, (1976), 139-154.
- [5] G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur Multiplier*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series no. **2**, (1987).
- [6] C. R. Leedham-Green; S. McKay, Baer-invariant, Isologism, Varietal Laws and Homology, *Acta Math.*, **137**, (1976), 99-150.
- [7] B. Mashayekhy, The Baer-invariant and Generalized Covering Groups, *Ph.D. Thesis, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad*, (1996).
- [8] B. Mashayekhy, A remark on generalized covering groups, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29, **7**, (1998), 711-713.
- [9] B. Mashayekhy, On the Existence of \mathcal{V} -covering groups, *Proceedings of 31-st Iranian Mathematics Conference*, (2000), pp. 227-232.
- [10] B. Mashayekhy and A. Khaksar, On \mathcal{N}_c -Covering groups of a nilpotent product of Cyclic Groups, *International J. of Math.Game Theory and Algebra*, 13, **2**, (2003), 129-132.

- [11] B. Mashayekhy and M. Parvizi, Polynilpotent Multipliers of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, Submitted.
- [12] J. Wiegold, The multiplier of a direct product, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, (2), **22** (1971), 103-105.
- [13] J. Wiegold, The Schur multiplier: an elementary approach pp. 137-154 in: Groups-St Andrews (1981), *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* vol. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982).